

County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

> Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

YVONNE B. BURKE Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH Fifth District

July 15, 2008

To:

Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke, Chair

Supervisor Gloria Molina, First District Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky, Third District Supervisor Don Knabe, Fourth District

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich, Fifth District

From:

William T. Fujioka

Chief Executive Officer

W Ch

REPORT ON UNION DUES AND AGENCY FEES — SEIU LOCAL 6434 AND PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES COUNCIL OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY (LA HOME HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS)

At the Board of Supervisor's meeting on June 17, 2008, your Board requested that the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) provide a report, working in collaboration with the Personal Assistance Services Counsel (PASC) the employer of record for In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) providers, on additional information regarding SEIU Local 6434's (Union) bargaining proposal to charge full dues and an assessment to all IHSS providers.

Union members pay \$30.66 in Union dues per month, plus a Union assessment of \$4.50 per month. The agreement between PASC-SEIU expressly provides that IHSS providers, who are non-members of the Union, shall pay an agency fee of \$0.21 per hour worked (until enough hours are worked to pay an amount equivalent to full dues) and are not subject to assessments. However, the Union has proposed that all providers pay the full amount of \$30.66, unless a provider works 20 hours or less. Based on the current agency fee arrangement, a non-member must work 146 hours before they pay this full fee amount. In addition, the Union proposes the assessment of \$4.50 to all providers, for a total of \$35.16 in Union charges per month. The current agreement between the PASC-SEIU states that agency fee payers are not subject to any initiation fees or assessments.

Each Supervisor July 15, 2008 Page 2

Based on your Board's request, CEO staff worked with the PASC to estimate the impact of the Union's proposal. It must be noted, that much of this data was extrapolated from the State's Case Management Information and Payrolling System (CMIPS). The Union does not share the detail of their deductions from each provider. However, CMIPS provides enough information for my staff to develop this reasonable estimate.

The analysis revealed that approximately 32 percent of the 136,000 IHSS providers pay full dues, either because they are Union members, or because they work in excess of 146 hours per month. Approximately 67 percent of providers pay less than full dues at the agency fee rate of \$0.21 per hour worked, and one percent of providers work less than 20 hours per month, and therefore, do not pay any fees. The Union currently collects approximately \$1.5 million per month in agency fees and \$1.4 million per month in Union dues. Under the Union's proposal, the amount collected from those currently paying agency fees and working part-time assignments, would more than double, increasing by \$1.6 million, to over \$3.1 million.

The analysis also revealed that the providers paying agency fees work an average of 80 hours per month. The Union's proposal would result in an additional \$0.23 per hour cost for the average provider. Therefore, if the providers received a \$0.25 per hour increase, the average worker would take home \$0.02 per hour.

Finally, the analysis provides the number of additional hours a provider would have to work, or the amount of a wage increase a provider would need, to fully offset the Union's proposal. For example, a provider working 20 to 30 hours per month would need to work 3.3 additional hours, or receive a wage increase of \$1.20 per hour, to offset the Union's proposal. A provider working 100 to 110 hours per month would need to work 1.5 additional hours, or receive a wage increase of \$0.12 per hour, to offset the proposal, etc. Attached for your Board's review are charts displaying a variety of scenarios and information regarding the impact of the Union's proposal.

On a similar note, we were recently contacted on Thursday, July 10, 2008, by PASC regarding bargaining issues with SEIU Local 6434. We met with the Executive Director of PASC, and their private counsel, on Friday, July 11, 2008. The Executive Director of PASC and counsel reported that although the parties continue to bargain, the Union has imposed a \$4.50 assessment on providers. PASC and the Department of Public Social Services have received complaints from providers that their dues deductions in some cases have doubled. This supports PASC's belief that SEIU Local 6434 may have recently increased agency fee deductions, or imposed other assessments on employees in the bargaining unit. We will have more definitive information on this matter at the end of July when payroll records are reviewed.

Each Supervisor July 15, 2008 Page 3

As stated above, the MOU contains a low-wage earner "cents per hour protection provision", which provides for a reduced agency fee due structure for low wage earnings and providers who work a minimum number of hours. Apparently, this provision may have been unilaterally negated, and an across-the-board agency fee of \$35.16 per month (includes unilaterally imposed \$4.50 assessment) may have been assessed on all providers. The Union transmits payroll deductions directly to the State Controller's Office, which inputs the deductions for each provider, without review. Neither PASC nor the County has a role in this process.

In addition, PASC's private counsel and their Executive Director reported that SEIU 6434 has attempted to use Government Code Section 3502 (a) and (b) to request an election for a new agency shop provision with increased dues for all bargaining unit members. PASC counsel reported that an Attorney General opinion was provided to SEIU Local 6434 which shows that this provision is not applicable because the PASC and SEIU Local 6434's bargaining contract already contains an expressed agency shop provision. This provision states that it will remain in force and effect until the parties reach agreement on an amended agency fee clause. Several technical and legal arguments have been raised by PASC and representatives of SEIU Local 6434 regarding this situation. We will continue to work with PASC and provide your Board with additional information regarding this issue as necessary.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me, or your staff may call Jim Adams at (213) 974-2404, or James Blunt at (213) 893-1291 of my staff.

WTF:SRH:MS GP:JB:cvb

Attachment

c: County Counsel
Department of Public Social Services
Personal Assistance Services Council

IHSS Agency Fee Proposal.doc Monday Revision DLW July 14 revision

IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ADDITIONAL REVENUE TO UNION

Analysis of Current \$0.21 per hour Agency Fees vs. Union Proposal to Eliminate 'Cents per Hour' Limits, and to add Union Assessment Data extrapolated from the State Case Management Information and Payrolling System (CMIPS)

Information				
Union Dues	\$30.66 per	month	Total Providers (as of February 2008)	136,282
Agency Fee Rate (for non-union members)	\$0.21 per	hour, up to \$30.66 per month	Providers Paying Full Dues (est 02/08)	44,155
Hours worked to pay full dues (based on Agency Fee Rate)	146 hou	urs per month	Providers Paying Agency Fees (est 02/08) (w/ under 146 hours)	92,127
Union Assessment - column (e) below	\$4.50 per	month		
			Jnion's authority to levy this assessment, based on the PASC / SE \$199,000 from providers paying full dues, as reflected below.)	IIU

(a)	(b) Providers Paying	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f) ncreases	(g)	(h)
Monthly Hours Worked	Less than Full Agency Fees	Estimated Hours	Agency Fees and Union Dues* (c) * \$0.21	\$4.50 Union Assessment (b) * \$4.50	Full Dues all Providers (b) * \$30.66	Total Increases (e)+(f)-(d)	% Increase (g) / (d)
g 0 to 10	240	1,202	S -		\$ -	\$ -	-%
9 0 to 10 10.1 to 20	1,185	17,768	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	-%
≥ 20.1 to 30	3,043	76,067	\$ 15,974.15	\$ 13,692.13	\$ 93,289.03	\$ 91,007.01	570%
20.1 to 30 30.1 to 40 40.1 to 50	5,398	188,914	\$ 39,671.99	\$ 24,288.97	\$ 165,488.86	\$ 150,105.84	378%
₩ 40.1 to 50	7,329	329,813	\$ 69,260.76	\$ 32,981.31	\$ 224,712.68		272%
වු 50.1 to 60	9,119	501,540	\$ 105,323.33	\$ 41,035.06	\$ 279,585.57	\$ 215,297.30	204%
50.1 to 60 60.1 to 70	10,505	682,853	\$ 143,399.22	\$ 47,274.47	\$ 322,096.70	\$ 225,971.95	158%
□ 70.1 to 80	10,286	771,442	\$ 162,002.86	\$ 46,286.53	\$ 315,365.56	\$ 199,649.23	123%
80.1 to 90 90.1 to 100 100.1 to 110 110.1 to 120 120.1 to 130	10,595	900,554	\$ 189,116.40	\$ 47,676.40	\$ 324,835.23	*****	97%
은 90.1 to 100	9,174	871,530	\$ 183,021.24	\$ 41,282.99	\$ 281,274.75	\$ 139,536.50	
⊉ 100.1 to 110	7,463	783,588	\$ 164,553.46	\$ 33,582.34	\$ 228,807.67	\$ 97,836.55	
들 110.1 to 120	6,258	719,691	\$ 151,135.18	\$ 28,161.83	\$ 191,875.96	4	46%
≥ 120.1 to 130	5,074	634,208	\$ 133,183.65	\$ 22,831.48	\$ 155,558.50	\$ 45,206.34	
∺ 130.1 to 140	4,274	576,985	\$ 121,166.88	\$ 19,232.84	\$ 131,039.74	\$ 29,105.70	
ய் 140.1 to 146	2,185	316,786	\$ 66,525.04	\$ 9,831.29	\$ 66,983.83	\$ 10,290.08	
	92,127	7,372,942	\$ 1,544,334.15	\$ 408,157.65	\$ 2,780,914.09	\$ 1,644,737.59	
Full Dues / Fees **	44,155	n/a	\$ 1,353,792.30	\$ 198,697.50	\$ 1,353,792.30	\$ 198,697.50	15%
Estima	ated Monthly Unic	on Collections	\$ \$ 2,898,126.45	\$ 606,855.15	\$ 4,134,706.39	\$ 1,843,435.09	64%
Estin	nated Annual Unic	on Collections	\$ \$ 34,777,517.39	\$ 7,282,261.75	\$ 49,616,476.71	\$ 22,121,221.07	

^{*} Note: Providers working less than 20 hours are exempt from agency fees and assessments, per the PASC / SEIU agreement.

^{**} Note: Available information does not differentiate between union members paying full dues and non-members paying maximum agency fees based on hours worked

Wage Increase Scenarios Offsetting Effect of Union Proposal

Additional Information	1												
Providers Paying Agend	cy Fees	92,127											
Hours Worked by this P		7,372,942											
Avg Hours Worked	•	80.0											
AVERAGE PROVIDER	WODKING 9	n HOLIDS SO	n wage i	AVING CII	RRENT AGE	NCV FEES ON	v						
AVERAGE PROVIDER	WORKING	o noons, \$5.0	JU WAGE, F	ATING CO	nnen Ade	NOT TEES ONE	-'	% of					
F	Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly Ir	ncome	Agency	y Fees	income	Net Income				
	1	80.0	\$ 9.00	\$ 7	720.00	\$	16.80	2.3%	\$ 703.20				
005114510 #4, 40.0	- WAOF INC	DEACE	,									.	
SCENARIO #1: \$0.25 WITH FULL UNION DU													
_	_					Full Day							
	gency Fee Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly Ir	noomo	Full Due Assess		% of income	Net Income		Increase to Provider		Increase to Union
r	1	80.0	\$ 9.25		740.00	\$	35.16	4.8%	\$ 704.84	\$	0.02 / hour	\$	0,23 / hour
<u> </u>	l	60.0	φ 9,20	Ψ ,	40.00	Ψ		4.076	Ψ 704.04	\$	1.60 / month	\$	18.40 / month
SCENARIO #2: \$0.50	WAGE INC	REASE											
WITH FULL UNION DU													
Δ.	gency Fee					Full Du	es and	% of			Increase to		Increase to
	Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly In	ncome	Assess		income	Net Income		Provider		Union
•	1	80.0	\$ 9.50	•	760.00	\$	35.16	4.6%	\$ 724.84	\$	0.27 / hour	\$	0.23 / hour
						·			·	\$	21.60 / month	\$	18.40 / month
SCENARIO #3: \$0.7	5 WAGE INC	CREASE											
WITH FULL UNION DU	JES AND ASS	SESSMENT											
Δ	gency Fee					Full Du	es and	% of			Increase to		Increase to
	Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly li	ncome	Asses		income	Net Income		Provider		Union
•	1	80.0	\$ 9.75	•	780.00	\$	35.16	4.5%	\$ 744.84	\$	0.52 / hour		0.23 / hour
										\$	41.60 / month	\$	18.40 / month
SCENARIO #4: \$1.00	0 WAGE INC	CREASE											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WITH FULL UNION DU													
Λ.	gency Fee					Full Du	es and	% of			Increase to		Increase to
	Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly I	ncome	Asses		income	Net Income		Provider		Union
'	1	80.0	\$ 10.00	•	300.00	\$	35.16	4.4%	\$ 764.84	\$	0.77 / hour	- \$	0.23 / hour
	-		,			·			 	\$	61.60 / month	\$	18.40 / month
SCENARIO #5: \$2.5	WAGE INC	CREASE					,						
WITH FULL UNION DU	JES AND AS	SESSMENT											
A	gency Fee					Full Du	es and	% of			Increase to		Increase to
i e	Providers	Avg Hours	Wage	Monthly I	ncome		sment	income	Net Income	-	Provider		Union
	1	80.0	\$ 11.50	\$	920.00	\$	35.16	3.8%	\$ 884.84	\$	2.27 / hour	\$	0.23 / hour
										\$	181.60 / month	\$	18.40 / month

Additional Hours or Wage Increase Needed to Completely Offset the Proposed Union Increase

						Curent \$0.21		% of	Full Dues and	% of	Additional Hours Needed to	OR	Wage Increase Needed to
Hours Worked	Providers	Avg Hours	٧	√age	Monthly Income	 Agency Fees		income	Assessment	income	Breakeven		Breakeven
0 to 10	1	5.0	\$	9.00	\$ 45.00	\$ -		-%	\$ -	-%		-	
10.1 to 20	1	15.0	\$	9.00	\$ 135.00	\$ -		-%	\$ -	-%			
20.1 to 30		25.0	\$	9.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 5.25		2.3%	\$ 35.16	15,6%	3.3		\$1.20
30.1 to 40	1	35.0	\$	9.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 7.35		2.3%	\$ 35.16	11.2%	3.1		\$0.79
40.1 to 50		45.0	\$	9.00	\$ 405.00	\$ 9.45	N W	2.3%	\$ 35.16	8.7%	2.9		\$0.57
50.1 to 60	1	55.0	\$	9.00	\$ 495.00	\$ 11.55		2.3%	\$ 35.16	7.1%	2.6		\$0.43
60.1 to 70	1	65.0	\$	9.00	\$ 585.00	\$ 13.65		2.3%	\$ 35.16	6.0%	2.4		\$0.33
70.1 to 80	1	75.0	\$	9.00	\$ 675.00	\$ 15.75		2.3%	\$ 35.16	5.2%	2.2		\$0.26
80.1 to 90	1	85.0	\$	9.00	\$ 765.00	\$ 17.85		2.3%	\$ 35.16	4.6%	1.9		\$0.20
90.1 to 100	1	95.0	\$	9.00	\$ 855.00	\$ 19.95		2.3%	\$ 35.16	4.1%	1.7		\$0.16
100.1 to 110	1	105.0	\$	9.00	\$ 945.00	\$ 22.05		2.3%	\$ 35.16	3.7%	1.5		\$0.12
110.1 to 120	1	115.0	\$	9.00	\$ 1,035.00	\$ 24.15		2.3%	\$ 35.16	3.4%	1.2		\$0.10
120.1 to 130		125.0	\$	9.00	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 26.25		2.3%	\$ 35.16	3.1%	1.0		\$0.07
130.1 to 140	1	135.0	\$	9.00	\$ 1,215.00	 \$ 28.35		2.3%	\$ 35.16	2.9%	0.8		\$0.05
140.1 to 146	an appeared to the	145.0	\$	9.00	\$ 1,305.00	\$ 30.45		2.3%	\$ 35.16	2.7%	0.5		\$0.03

Effect of the Union proposal on wage increases

\$0.25 wage increase with Union proposal of full dues and assessment: \$0.50 wage increase with Union proposal of full dues and assessment: \$0.75 wage increase with Union proposal of full dues and assessment: \$1.00 wage increase with Union proposal of full dues and assessment:

Providers working 20 - 80 hours, 49.6% of agency fee payers, would take home less than they currently do. Providers working 20 - 50 hours, 17.1% of agency fee payers, would take home less than they currently do. Providers working 20 - 40 hours, 9.2% of agency fee payers, would take home less than they currently do. Providers working 20 - 30 hours, 3.3% of agency fee payers, would take home less than they currently do.